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Authority and Interest

The Secretary of Agriculture is charged with the responsibility under the Agricultural Adjustment Act of 1938 and the Agricultural Marketing Act of 1946 to represent the interests of agricultural producers and shippers in improving transportation services and facilities by, among other things, initiating and participating in Surface Transportation Board (Board) proceedings involving rates, charges, tariffs, practices, and services.

Introduction

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) appreciates the opportunity to offer comments in this important proceeding. Rail service problems have been occurring across significant portions of the U.S. rail network, particularly on the Canadian Pacific (CP) and BNSF Railway (BNSF) systems. Problems associated with deteriorated rail service include grain shippers paying up to $6,000 to obtain empty railcars, grain piling up on the ground outside elevators awaiting rail transportation, and some grain shippers either paying ocean vessel demurrage charges, estimated between $30,000 and $50,000 per day ($0.07 to $0.11 cents per bushel), or missing vessels that departed before the delayed grain shipments could be loaded. These extra costs are reflected in the prices paid to farmers for their crops. In addition, grain shippers are concerned that the backlog created by the current service problems may not be corrected prior to the upcoming 2014 harvest. If old crop grains are still in storage when the new harvest occurs, this will create problems for storage, transportation, and markets.

Grain Shipper Concerns

BNSF grain shippers have paid record-high rates for delivery of empty grain cars in the secondary railcar markets (see figure), reportedly as much as $6,000 per empty grain car—approximately $1.65 per bushel, which can make U.S. grain less competitive in world markets and/or reduce the amount of revenue earned by producers. Bids in the secondary railcar market for empty grain cars have continued to climb with new records being set in December, January, February, and March. This indicates empty railcar supply for grain is increasingly inadequate relative to demand.

Bids represent an additional premium to securing guaranteed railcar service during a specific time period. As only so many guaranteed railcars are made available by railroads for a given month, shippers will bid higher and higher premiums to secure railcars as demand increases. Inadequate supply relative to demand has also driven up bids for service on Union Pacific Railroad (UP) as shippers switch carriers. These costs are in addition to what shippers must pay BNSF directly through tariffs and fuel surcharges, which currently total between $4,000 and $6,000 per car on key grain routes.

1 USDA does not have secondary railcar market prices for empty railcars originating on CP and CN.
**Rail Operations Impacting Grain Shipments**

Train speeds for BNSF grain trains have decreased and cycle times to Pacific Northwest markets have increased. BNSF grain train speed has decreased 15 percent, from 23.8 miles per hour in February 2013 to 20.3 miles per hour during February 2014. During January, grain unit trains from Minnesota or Iowa to the Pacific Northwest were taking as long as 22 days, compared to a normal transit time of 12 days.

As a whole, the amount of grain shipped via U.S.-owned Class I railroads is currently behind expectations given past performance following previous grain harvests. Grain carloads are about 42,000 carloads behind the 2009-2010 harvest and about 110,000 carloads behind the 2007-2008 harvest (Tables 1 and 2). Both harvests set records in terms of corn, wheat, and soybean production.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>BNSF Carloads</th>
<th>Surplus / (Deficit)</th>
<th>CN Carloads</th>
<th>Surplus / (Deficit)</th>
<th>CP Carloads</th>
<th>Surplus / (Deficit)</th>
<th>U.S. Railroad Carloads</th>
<th>Surplus / (Deficit)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2007-2008</td>
<td>305,189</td>
<td>(74,511)</td>
<td>125,731</td>
<td>(10,760)</td>
<td>125,903</td>
<td>9,342</td>
<td>659,532</td>
<td>(110,784)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008-2009</td>
<td>258,365</td>
<td>(27,687)</td>
<td>115,797</td>
<td>(826)</td>
<td>149,283</td>
<td>(14,038)</td>
<td>552,300</td>
<td>(3,552)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009-2010</td>
<td>275,532</td>
<td>(44,854)</td>
<td>103,679</td>
<td>11,892</td>
<td>135,266</td>
<td>(21)</td>
<td>590,772</td>
<td>(42,024)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010-2011</td>
<td>296,477</td>
<td>(65,799)</td>
<td>105,135</td>
<td>9,836</td>
<td>123,930</td>
<td>11,315</td>
<td>617,488</td>
<td>(68,740)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011-2012</td>
<td>270,066</td>
<td>(39,388)</td>
<td>101,757</td>
<td>13,214</td>
<td>140,065</td>
<td>(4,820)</td>
<td>553,823</td>
<td>(5,075)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012-2013</td>
<td>255,588</td>
<td>(24,910)</td>
<td>98,546</td>
<td>16,425</td>
<td>145,780</td>
<td>(15,353)</td>
<td>480,488</td>
<td>(48,260)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013-2014</td>
<td>230,678</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>114,971</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>135,245</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>548,748</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>prior 5-year avg</td>
<td>271,206</td>
<td>(40,528)</td>
<td>104,863</td>
<td>10,108</td>
<td>138,865</td>
<td>(3,620)</td>
<td>558,974</td>
<td>(10,226)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Association of American Railroads, Weekly Rail Traffic

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>CSX Carloads</th>
<th>Surplus / (Deficit)</th>
<th>NS Carloads</th>
<th>Surplus / (Deficit)</th>
<th>KCS Carloads</th>
<th>Surplus / (Deficit)</th>
<th>UP Carloads</th>
<th>Surplus / (Deficit)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2007-2008</td>
<td>81,911</td>
<td>(23,580)</td>
<td>82,830</td>
<td>3,645</td>
<td>18,442</td>
<td>7,673</td>
<td>171,160</td>
<td>(24,011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008-2009</td>
<td>62,935</td>
<td>(4,604)</td>
<td>75,224</td>
<td>11,251</td>
<td>20,093</td>
<td>6,022</td>
<td>135,683</td>
<td>11,466</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009-2010</td>
<td>60,118</td>
<td>(1,787)</td>
<td>81,557</td>
<td>4,918</td>
<td>21,152</td>
<td>4,963</td>
<td>152,413</td>
<td>(5,264)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010-2011</td>
<td>58,874</td>
<td>(543)</td>
<td>82,342</td>
<td>4,133</td>
<td>16,628</td>
<td>9,487</td>
<td>163,167</td>
<td>(16,018)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011-2012</td>
<td>58,470</td>
<td>(139)</td>
<td>77,269</td>
<td>9,206</td>
<td>13,810</td>
<td>12,305</td>
<td>134,208</td>
<td>12,941</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012-2013</td>
<td>41,336</td>
<td>16,995</td>
<td>70,886</td>
<td>15,589</td>
<td>12,948</td>
<td>13,167</td>
<td>99,730</td>
<td>47,419</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013-2014</td>
<td>58,331</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>86,475</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>26,115</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>147,149</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>prior 5-year avg</td>
<td>56,347</td>
<td>1,984</td>
<td>77,456</td>
<td>9,019</td>
<td>16,920</td>
<td>9,189</td>
<td>137,040</td>
<td>10,109</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Association of American Railroads, Weekly Rail Traffic

BNSF states that track work to expand future capacity was the primary reason for poor service because sections of track must be shut down at least 10–12 hours daily while the work is being done. Other factors cited by BNSF and CP include severe weather and increased traffic from several commodities, including grain, coal, intermodal, and petroleum.

One of the most severe winters in 20 years has slowed operations across the northern rail network. When temperatures are below -15°F, trains cannot be as long because the cold diminishes the effectiveness of air brakes. This means that railroads require more crew and locomotives to move the same amount of traffic. With significant backlogs already on the network from other factors, weather delays can be compounded and make the overall situation even worse.
On March 21, BNSF reported that 16,761 railcars were past due by an average of 23.4 days. North Dakota had 7,474 railcars past due by an average of 21.4 days, Montana had 3,322 railcars late by an average of 25 days, South Dakota had 1,300 railcars past due by an average of 25.9 days, and Minnesota had 1,463 railcars past due by an average of 23.3 days. However, BNSF has shown recent improvements, reporting that North Region dwell time improved 11 percent since the first week of February and North Region train speed improved 1 percent during the same period.

Although there have been recent signs of improving rail service, there is concern that this year’s crop will not be moved before the new crop has to go into storage, which could create major problems during the upcoming 2014 harvest. There are reports of elevator operators storing millions of bushels of grain on the ground and refusing to buy more from farmers. Reports from North Dakota co-op managers indicate 85 percent of this year’s corn crop is still in either on-farm or warehouse storage.

**Conclusion**

USDA understands this is a broad and complex issue affecting all commodities shipped by rail. There is likely no easy solution to alleviate problems faced by shippers in the short term. While all rail shipments are time sensitive to varying degrees and all industries have special considerations, USDA would like to emphasize the perishability of grain stored on the ground and other agriculture shipments.
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